

Models In Epidemiology And Biostatistics

Gordon Hilton Fick

Conditional Time To Event Models

Now let us suppose we have n subjects and we have n_i observations on subject i . We are interested in the time to an event that can recur. [not mortality, for example] For the j th observation on the i th subject, we might consider the addition of a subject specific u_i . As with our previous discussions of conditional models, we can consider clusters, groups or blocks in lieu of subjects. [then mortality might be the event]

$$\log h_{ij}(t) = \log h_0(t) + \beta_1 x_{1ij} + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \dots + \beta_k x_{kij} + u_i$$

or:

$$h_{ij}(t) = h_0(t) e^{\beta_1 x_{1ij} + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \dots + \beta_k x_{kij}} v_i$$

where $v_i = \exp(u_i)$ and $u_i = \log(v_i)$.

The v_i have come to be called the frailties and the u_i then the log frailties.

The frailty concept can be traced back to Greenwood and Yule (1920) on "accident proneness". The term frailty itself was introduced by Vaupel et al. (1979) and the model was substantially promoted in a seminal paper by Clayton (1978) (without using the word "frailty") on chronic disease incidence in families.

They are called 'shared' frailties in that each subject shares the same frailty. [There are 'unshared' frailties not for discussion here]

As we considered previously with other conditional models, we can assume the outcomes [the times-to-event] are conditionally independent, given the frailty. This approach can be used for times-to-event of related individuals like family members or recurrent observations on the same person so that the times-to-event are not marginally independent.

The frailties are often assumed to come from a Gamma distribution with mean 1 and variance θ . We have not seen this family of distributions before. The file gamma.do provides some illustrations. Notice that if $\theta=0$ then we have a [regular] Cox model.

Consider a study of kidney dialysis patients. The outcome here is time to infection from time of catheter insertion. Each patient contributed 2 occasions of catheter insertion.

```
use catheter.dta
stcox age female, shared(patient) effects(1f) nohr
```

```
Cox regression --
      Breslow method for ties          Number of obs      =      76
      Gamma shared frailty             Number of groups    =      38
Group variable: patient

No. of subjects =      76              Obs per group: min =      2
```

```

No. of failures =      58                      avg =      2
Time at risk   =     7424                      max =      2

Log likelihood = -181.97453                      Wald chi2(2) =     11.66
                                                Prob > chi2   =     0.0029

```

```

-----+-----
      _t |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      age |   .0061825   .012022     0.51   0.607    - .0173801   .0297451
    female |  -1.575675   .4626528    -3.41   0.001    -2.482458  -.6688924
-----+-----
      theta |   .4754497   .2673108
-----+-----

```

Likelihood-ratio test of theta=0: chibar2(01) = 6.27 Prob>=chibar2 = 0.006

Note: Standard errors of regression parameters are conditional on theta.

```

. list patient lf [the log frailties]
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| patient   lf | 19. | 10  | -.72858614 | 39. | 20  | .07915559 | 59. | 30  | .25341003 |
|              | 20. | 10  | -.72858614 | 40. | 20  | .07915559 | 60. | 30  | .25341003 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
1. | 1 | .40450061 | 21. | 11  | .5163713 | 41. | 21  | -2.4487067 | 61. | 31  | .35432195 |
2. | 1 | .40450061 | 22. | 11  | .5163713 | 42. | 21  | -2.4487067 | 62. | 31  | .35432195 |
3. | 2 | .28607212 | 23. | 12  | .02425208 | 43. | 22  | -.52732037 | 63. | 32  | .18576114 |
4. | 2 | .28607212 | 24. | 12  | .02425208 | 44. | 22  | -.52732037 | 64. | 32  | .18576114 |
5. | 3 | .09900583 | 25. | 13  | .28529655 | 45. | 23  | .36766283 | 65. | 33  | .12834751 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
6. | 3 | .09900583 | 26. | 13  | .28529655 | 46. | 23  | .36766283 | 66. | 33  | .12834751 |
7. | 4 | -.70276712 | 27. | 14  | -.54148495 | 47. | 24  | .05429806 | 67. | 34  | -.19393037 |
8. | 4 | -.70276712 | 28. | 14  | -.54148495 | 48. | 24  | .05429806 | 68. | 34  | -.19393037 |
9. | 5 | .14780028 | 29. | 15  | -.83608268 | 49. | 25  | -.07760733 | 69. | 35  | .3797819 |
10. | 5 | .14780028 | 30. | 15  | -.83608268 | 50. | 25  | -.07760733 | 70. | 35  | .3797819 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
11. | 6 | -.00515658 | 31. | 16  | .11057934 | 51. | 26  | -.47970869 | 71. | 36  | -.2434041 |
12. | 6 | -.00515658 | 32. | 16  | .11057934 | 52. | 26  | -.47970869 | 72. | 36  | -.2434041 |
13. | 7 | .51871587 | 33. | 17  | -.25467225 | 53. | 27  | .06488521 | 73. | 37  | .11519003 |
14. | 7 | .51871587 | 34. | 17  | -.25467225 | 54. | 27  | .06488521 | 74. | 37  | .11519003 |
15. | 8 | -.5756332 | 35. | 18  | -.25982922 | 55. | 28  | .38768077 | 75. | 38  | -.51796025 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
16. | 8 | -.5756332 | 36. | 18  | -.25982922 | 56. | 28  | .38768077 | 76. | 38  | -.51796025 |
17. | 9 | -.15290952 | 37. | 19  | -.53238607 | 57. | 29  | .30102246 | 77. | 39  | .11519003 |
18. | 9 | -.15290952 | 38. | 19  | -.53238607 | 58. | 29  | .30102246 | 78. | 39  | .11519003 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

```

predict lhr,xb
twoway (line lhr age if female==0) (line lhr age if female==1),legend(off)
stcurve, cumhaz at1(female=0) at2(female=1) yscale(log)
stcurve, haz at1(female=0) at2(female=1) yscale(log)
estat phtest,detail
estat phtest, plot(female)
estat phtest, log plot(female)
estat phtest, log detail
bysort patient: replace lf=. if _n>1
graph box lf, by(female) marker(1, mlabel(patient))

```

To my knowledge, there are no marginal models available currently. A 'variance corrected' method is available in Stata.

```
stcox age female, vce(cluster patient) nohr
```

Cox regression -- Breslow method for ties

```
No. of subjects      =           76          Number of obs   =           76
No. of failures      =           58
Time at risk         =          7424
Log pseudolikelihood = -185.10993          Wald chi2(2)      =           2.74
                                          Prob > chi2       =           0.2540
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 38 clusters in patient)

		Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age		.0022426	.0078139	0.29	0.774	-.0130724	.0175575
female		-.7986869	.487274	-1.64	0.101	-1.753726	.1563526

.

The method of shared frailty is far more widely seen than the VC methods.

For time to event analysis with discrete time, we can use all of the conditional models appropriate for logistic regression or cloglog models.