

Models In Epidemiology And Biostatistics
Gordon Hilton Fick

Apgar Scores Example

Dohoo, Martin & Stryhn (2012) provide a detailed example with an ordinal outcome. The outcome is the Apgar score. See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apgar_score

The Apgar score was designed to summarize the health of newborn children.

Apgar scores range from zero to 10. The five criteria are summarized using words chosen to form a backronym (Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration).

The five criteria of the Apgar score:

	Score of 0	Score of 1	Score of 2	Component of backronym
Skin color	blue or pale all over	blue at extremities, body pink (acrocyanosis)	no cyanosis body and extremities pink	Appearance
Pulse rate	absent	<100 beats per minute	>100 beats per minute	Pulse
Reflex irritability grimace	no response to stimulation	grimace on suction or aggressive stimulation	cry on stimulation	Grimace
Activity	none	some flexion	flexed arms and legs that resist extension	Activity
Respiratory effort	absent	weak, irregular, gasping	strong, robust cry	Respiration

DMS obtained a random sample of 5000 births from <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs>

This dataset is bw5k.dta

```
. tab apgar
```

Apgar score at 5 min.	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
1	10	0.20	0.20
2	3	0.06	0.26
3	5	0.10	0.36
4	6	0.12	0.48
5	19	0.38	0.86
6	29	0.58	1.44
7	99	1.98	3.42
8	538	10.76	14.18
9	4,103	82.06	96.24
10	188	3.76	100.00
Total	5,000	100.00	

```
. tab previs
```

# of prenatal visits	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	47	0.94	0.94
1	14	0.28	1.22
2	42	0.84	2.06
3	35	0.70	2.76
4	68	1.36	4.12
5	95	1.90	6.02
6	126	2.52	8.54
7	177	3.54	12.08
8	284	5.68	17.76
9	360	7.20	24.96
10	734	14.68	39.64
11	468	9.36	49.00
12	946	18.92	67.92
13	398	7.96	75.88
14	381	7.62	83.50
15	411	8.22	91.72
16	160	3.20	94.92
17	60	1.20	96.12
18	49	0.98	97.10
19	20	0.40	97.50
20	60	1.20	98.70
21	11	0.22	98.92
22	9	0.18	99.10
23	6	0.12	99.22
24	9	0.18	99.40
25	11	0.22	99.62
26	3	0.06	99.68
27	2	0.04	99.72
29	3	0.06	99.78
30	8	0.16	99.94
31	1	0.02	99.96
34	1	0.02	99.98
40	1	0.02	100.00
Total	5,000	100.00	

DMS grouped apgar and previs so we will do the same.

```
. recode apgar (1/6=0) (7/8=1) (9/1=2), gen(apgar_c3)
. recode previs (1/5=0) (6/11=1) (12/1000=2), gen(previs_c3)
. gen white = (mrace_c4==2)
```

```
. mlogit apgar_c3 i.previs_c3 white gest male
```

```

Multinomial logistic regression              Number of obs   =      5,000
                                             LR chi2(10)       =     108.02
                                             Prob > chi2       =      0.0000
Log likelihood = -2219.9695                 Pseudo R2        =      0.0238

```

apgar_c3		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
0							
	previs_c3						
	1	-1.024911	.357607	-2.87	0.004	-1.725808	-.3240141
	2	-.9443598	.3614109	-2.61	0.009	-1.652712	-.2360076
	white	.4289323	.2530061	1.70	0.090	-.0669506	.9248152
	gest	-.2156859	.0317664	-6.79	0.000	-.277947	-.1534249
	male	.7148482	.2604891	2.74	0.006	.204299	1.225397
	_cons	4.270922	1.142261	3.74	0.000	2.032132	6.509712
1							
	previs_c3						
	1	-.2946795	.1697455	-1.74	0.083	-.6273745	.0380154
	2	-.3356566	.1698413	-1.98	0.048	-.6685394	-.0027737
	white	.2794856	.0883631	3.16	0.002	.106297	.4526742
	gest	-.1027939	.016823	-6.11	0.000	-.1357664	-.0698215
	male	.0149094	.0855448	0.17	0.862	-.1527552	.1825741
	_cons	2.166233	.6416813	3.38	0.001	.9085608	3.423905
2		(base outcome)					

```
. ologit apgar_c3 i.previs_c3 white gest male
```

```

Ordered logistic regression              Number of obs   =      5,000
                                             LR chi2(5)       =     90.90
                                             Prob > chi2       =      0.0000
Log likelihood = -2228.5279                 Pseudo R2        =      0.0200

```

apgar_c3		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	previs_c3						
	1	.4406487	.1565974	2.81	0.005	.1337234	.747574
	2	.4639134	.1566039	2.96	0.003	.1569753	.7708514
	white	-.296896	.0846716	-3.51	0.000	-.4628492	-.1309428
	gest	.1284589	.0158105	8.12	0.000	.0974709	.1594469
	male	-.0906923	.0820493	-1.11	0.269	-.251506	.0701215
	/cut1	.8684825	.6053449			-.3179718	2.054937
	/cut2	3.328196	.6011476			2.149969	4.506424

Interpret the coefficients from these 2 models.

```
. brant,detail
```

Estimated coefficients from binary logits

Variable	y_gt_0	y_gt_1
previs_c3		
1	0.964	0.400
	2.70	2.54
2	0.879	0.429
	2.44	2.72
white	-0.382	-0.294
	-1.51	-3.47
gest	0.192	0.119
	6.17	7.59
male	-0.713	-0.079
	-2.74	-0.96
_cons	-3.190	-2.936
	-2.86	-4.93

legend: b/t

Brant test of parallel regression assumption

	chi2	p>chi2	df
All	19.49	0.002	5
1.previs_c3	2.81	0.094	1
2.previs_c3	1.75	0.186	1
white	0.13	0.717	1
gest	6.15	0.013	1
male	6.37	0.012	1

A significant test statistic provides evidence that the parallel regression assumption has been violated.

There is evidence against the proportional odds assumption. In particular, gest and male have cut specific regression coefficients that are different.

There is a model that assumes proportional odds for some variables but does not make the assumption for others. These models are called 'partial proportional odds models'. For example :

$$\log\left(\frac{p_j}{1-p_j}\right) = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 * \text{previs}_1 + \beta_2 * \text{previs}_2 + \beta_3 * \text{white} + \beta_{4j} * \text{gest} + \beta_{5j} * \text{male}$$

These models use β_{0j} rather than $-\kappa_j$.

There are 'generalized ordinal logit models' as well. Such models can provide cut specific estimates for all cuts simultaneously. These models do not give the same estimates as the individual marginal models and these models are not the same as the multinomial models.

```
. ssc install gologit2
. gologit2 apgar_c3 i.previs_c3 white gest male,pl(white i.previs_c3)
```

Generalized Ordered Logit Estimates

Number of obs = 5,000

LR chi2(7) = 104.24

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Pseudo R2 = 0.0229

Log likelihood = -2221.8607

(1) [0]white - [1]white = 0

(2) [0]1.previs_c3 - [1]1.previs_c3 = 0

(3) [0]2.previs_c3 - [1]2.previs_c3 = 0

	apgar_c3	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
0							
	previs_c3						
	1	.4292983	.1563866	2.75	0.006	.1227861	.7358105
	2	.4534526	.1565242	2.90	0.004	.1466709	.7602343
	white	-.2956676	.0845814	-3.50	0.000	-.461444	-.1298911
	gest	.1875419	.0274186	6.84	0.000	.1338023	.2412814
	male	-.723602	.2579657	-2.81	0.005	-1.229205	-.2179985
	_cons	-2.657577	1.026297	-2.59	0.010	-4.669082	-.6460712
1							
	previs_c3						
	1	.4292983	.1563866	2.75	0.006	.1227861	.7358105
	2	.4534526	.1565242	2.90	0.004	.1466709	.7602343
	white	-.2956676	.0845814	-3.50	0.000	-.461444	-.1298911
	gest	.1211466	.015794	7.67	0.000	.090191	.1521022
	male	-.0776052	.0821413	-0.94	0.345	-.2385992	.0833888
	_cons	-3.043491	.6007176	-5.07	0.000	-4.220876	-1.866106